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Abstract

In this paper, we prove that two homogeneous quasi-invariant subspaces are similar only if they are equal. Moreover, we exhibit an example to show how to determine the similarity orbits of quasi-invariant subspaces.
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1. Introduction

Recall that the Hardy space $H^2(D)$ over the open unit disk $D$ is the closed subspace of $L^2(T)$ spanned by the non-negative powers of the coordinate function $z$. If $M$ is a (closed) subspace of $H^2(D)$ that is invariant for the multiplication operator $M_z$, then Beurling’s theorem says that there exists an inner function $\eta$ such that $M = \eta H^2(D)$. Beurling’s theorem has played an important role in operator theory, function theory and their intersection, function-theoretic operator theory. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let $X$ be a Hilbert space consisting of analytic functions in $\Omega$ such that $1 \in X$, and for each polynomial $p$ and each $h \in X$, $ph \in X$. If $M$ is a closed subspace of $X$ such that $pM \subseteq M$ for every polynomial $p$, we say that $M$ is an invariant subspace for the function space $X$.

Despite the great development in these fields over the past fifty years, there are still many problems to explore, one of which is to investigate equivalence classes of invariant subspaces of function spaces under similarity or unitary equivalence.
Along this line, Axler, Agrawal, Bourdon, Douglas, Guo, Paulsen, Putinar, Salinas have done a lot of work, see [4, 2, 1, 7, 8, 10, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15] and references therein. The extension of some of the above results to the Fock space, and analytic Hilbert spaces on the complex plane were considered by Guo and Zheng [13], and by Chen, Guo and Hou [6].

The Fock space is the analog of the Bergman space in the context of the complex $n$-space $\mathbb{C}^n$. It is a Hilbert space consisting of entire functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $d\mu(z) = e^{-|z|^2/2}dv(z)(2\pi)^{-n}$ be the Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ ($dv$ is the ordinary Lebesgue measure). The Fock space $L^2_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with the reproducing kernel functions $K_\lambda(z) = e^{\lambda z/2}$, and the normalized reproducing kernel functions $k_\lambda(z) = e^{\lambda z/2-|\lambda|^2/4}$, (here $\lambda, z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i$).

The next proposition states that there exists no nontrivial invariant subspace for the Fock space. This proposition first appeared in [13].

**Proposition 1.1.** Let $M$ be a (closed) subspace of $L^2_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and $M \neq \{0\}$. If $f$ is an entire function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $f M \subset M$, then $f$ is a constant.

Thus, an appropriate substitute for invariant subspace, the so-called quasi-invariant subspace is needed. Namely, a (closed) subspace $M$ of the Fock space is called quasi-invariant if the relation $pf \in L^2_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ implies $pf \in M$ for any $f \in M$ and any polynomial $p$. Equivalently, $M$ is quasi-invariant if $pM \cap L^2_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset M$ for each polynomial $p$.

Let $M_1$ and $M_2$ be two quasi-invariant subspaces of $L^2_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For a bounded linear operator $A : M_1 \to M_2$, we call $A$ a quasi-module map if $A(pf) = pA(f)$ whenever $pf \in M_1$ (here $p$ is any polynomial, and $f \in M_1$). Thus by the definition if $A$ is a quasi-module map, then the relation $pf \in M_1$ forces $pA(f) \in M_2$. Letting $M_1$ and $M_2$ be quasi-invariant subspaces of $L^2_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we say that

1. they are unitarily equivalent if there exists a unitary quasi-module map $A : M_1 \to M_2$ such that $A^{-1} : M_2 \to M_1$ is also quasi-module map;
2. they are similar if there exists an invertible quasi-module map $A : M_1 \to M_2$ such that $A^{-1} : M_2 \to M_1$ is also a quasi-module map.

It is easy to check that unitary equivalence and similarity are equivalence relations in the category of all quasi-invariant subspaces.

It is well known that for each analytic function space $X$ on a bounded domain $\Omega$, the closure $\overline{T}$ of an ideal $I$ of polynomial ring $\mathcal{P}$ is an invariant subspace of $X$. However it is never obvious if the closure $\overline{T}$ of $I$ in the Fock space $L^2_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is quasi-invariant. In Section 2, we first prove that the closure of a homogeneous ideal is quasi-invariant.
Furthermore, it is shown that two homogeneous quasi-invariant subspaces are similar only if they are equal. In Section 3, we determine the similarity orbit of the quasi-invariant subspace \([z^m]\) generated by \(z^m\). Namely, the similarity orbit of \([z^m]\) consists of \([p(z)]\), where \(p(z)\) range over all polynomials in the variable \(z\) with deg \(p = m\).

2. Homogeneous quasi-invariant subspaces of the Fock space

It is well known that for each analytic Hilbert space \(X\) on a bounded domain \(\Omega\), the invariant subspace generated by an ideal \(I\) of polynomial ring \(\mathcal{G}\) is the closure \(\overline{I}\) of \(I\). However, in the case of the Fock space it is never obvious if the quasi-invariant subspace generated by \(I\) is the closure \(\overline{I}\) of \(I\). Here we give a proposition which shows that the quasi-invariant subspace generated by a homogeneous ideal is the closure of this ideal. Recall that an ideal \(I\) is homogeneous if the relation \(p^2 \in I\) implies that all homogeneous components of \(p\) are in \(I\). Equivalently, an ideal \(I\) is homogeneous if and only if \(I\) is generated by homogeneous polynomials.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \(I\) be a homogeneous ideal. Then on the Fock space \(L^2_a(\mathbb{C}^n)\), the quasi-invariant subspace generated by \(I\) is the closure \(\overline{I}\) of \(I\).

**Proof.** Let \(f \in \overline{I}\), and \(f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k\) be \(f\)'s homogeneous expression. We claim that every \(f_k\) is in \(I\). To prove the claim, we let \(J_k\) consist of all those \(p \in I\) with homogeneous degree of \(p\) being at most \(k\). Then \(J_k\) is of finite dimension. From the relation \(f \in \overline{I}\), there is a sequence \(\{p_m\}\) in \(I\) such that \(p_m \to f\) as \(m \to \infty\). This implies that \(p_m^{(k)} \to f_k\), where \(p_m^{(k)}\) denote \(k\)-homogeneous component of \(p_m\). Since \(I\) is homogeneous, \(p_m^{(k)}\) belong to \(I\), and hence they are in \(J_k\). Because \(J_k\) is finite dimensional, and hence closed, this forces \(f_k \in I\).

Assume that \(qf \in L^2_a(\mathbb{C}^n)\) for some polynomial \(q\). Let \(q = \sum_{i=0}^{j} q_i\) be the homogeneous expression of \(q\). Then the homogeneous expression of \(qf\) is given by

\[
qf = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=m} q_i f_j \right).
\]

Now it is easy to derive that \(qf \in \overline{I}\) by the above homogeneous expression of \(qf\).

It follows that \(\overline{I}\) is quasi-invariant, and hence it equals the quasi-invariant subspace generated by \(I\).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \(I_1\) and \(I_2\) be homogeneous ideals. Then \(\overline{I_1}\) and \(\overline{I_2}\) are similar if and only if \(I_1 = I_2\).

To prove theorem we need some preliminaries. For a polynomial \(p\), we use \(\deg p\) to denote the homogeneous degree of \(p\). First we give the following proposition.
**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\Lambda : M_1 \to M_2$ be a quasi-module map. Then $\Lambda$ maps $M_1 \cap \mathcal{C}$ to $M_2 \cap \mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, if $p \in M_1 \cap \mathcal{C}$, then $\deg p \geq \deg \Lambda(p)$.

**Proof.** We may assume that $M_1$ contains a nonzero polynomial $p$. Set $q = \Lambda(p)$. We claim that $\deg q \leq \deg p$. Let $p = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg p} p_k$, $q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k$ be the homogeneous expansions of $p$ and $q$, respectively. Then for each positive integer $N$, one has

$$
\| (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n)^N q \|^2 \leq \| A \|^2 \| (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n)^N p \|^2,
$$

and hence for $l > \deg p$,

$$(1) \quad \| (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n)^N q_l \|^2 \leq \| A \|^2 \| (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n)^N p \|^2 = \| A \|^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\deg p} \| (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n)^N p_k \|^2.
$$

For each homogeneous polynomial $r = \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_m = \deg r} a_{j_1 \cdots j_m} z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_n^{j_m}$, an easy calculation gives

$$
\| (z_1 \cdots z_n)^N r \|^2 = \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_m = \deg r} |a_{j_1 \cdots j_m}|^2 2^{\deg r + nN} (j_1 + N)! \cdots (j_m + N)!
$$

Multiplying the two sides of (1) by $e^{nN}/(2^{nN} N^{n+N+1/2})$ and letting $N \to \infty$, then applying Stirling’s formula $m! \sim \sqrt{2\pi m} m^m e^{-m}$ (as $m \to \infty$) to (1) gives

$$
\sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_m = \deg r} |a_{j_1 \cdots j_m}|^2 = 0.
$$

This means that $q_l = 0$ for all $l > \deg p$, and hence the desired result follows.

We endow the polynomial ring $\mathcal{C}$ with the topology induced by the Fock space $L_2^\beta (\mathbb{C}^n)$. For an ideal $I$, we regard $I$ as module over the ring $\mathcal{C}$.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $\Lambda : M_1 \to M_2$ be a similarity. Then $\Lambda$ induces a continuous module isomorphism from $M_1 \cap \mathcal{C}$ onto $M_2 \cap \mathcal{C}$.

By [13, Lemma 5.2], for each ideal $I$ of the polynomial ring $\mathcal{C}$, one has $I \cap \mathcal{C} = I$. Combining this fact with Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.4, we see that if $I_1$ and $I_2$ are homogeneous ideals, then a similarity $\Lambda : T_1 \to T_2$ induces a continuous module isomorphism from $I_1$ onto $I_2$.

Let $B_n$ be the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $\partial B_n$ be the boundary of $B_n$. We let $\sigma$ be the unique rotation-invariant positive Borel measure on $\partial B_n$ for which $\sigma (\partial B_n) = 1$. As usual, $H^2 (B_n)$ denotes the Hardy space on the unit ball $B_n$. Let $M_1$, $M_2$ be invariant subspaces of $H^2 (B_n)$. We say that a bounded linear operator $\Lambda : M_1 \to M_2$ is a module map if $\Lambda (ph) = p \Lambda (h)$ for any polynomial $p$ and $h \in M_1$. 
**Lemma 2.5.** If $M_1$ and $M_2$ are invariant subspaces of $H^2(B_n)$ and $A : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a module map, then there exists a bounded function $\phi$ on $\partial B_n$ such that $A(h) = \phi h$ for any $h \in M_1$.

**Proof.** From Rudin [17], we see that all inner functions on $B_n$ and their adjoints generate $L^\infty(\partial B_n)$ in the weak*-topology. Set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \bar{\eta} h : \eta \text{ are inner functions, and } h \in M_1 \}.$$  

Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a dense linear subspace of $L^2(\partial B_n)$. We define a map $\hat{A} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow L^2(\partial B_n)$ by $\hat{A}(\bar{\eta} h) = \bar{\eta} A(h)$. Since $A$ is a module map, the above definition is well defined. From the relation $\| \hat{A}(\bar{\eta} h) \| = \| A(h) \| \leq \| A \| \| \bar{\eta} h \|$, we see that $\hat{A}$ extends to a bounded map from $L^2(\partial B_n)$ to $L^2(\partial B_n)$. It is obvious that $\hat{A}$ satisfies $\hat{A} M_g = M_g \hat{A}$ for any $g \in L^\infty(\partial B_n)$, and hence there exists a function $\phi \in L^\infty(\partial B_n)$ such that $\hat{A} = M_\phi$. This insures that $A(h) = \phi h$ for any $h \in M_1$. $\square$

Below we prove Theorem 2.2.

**Proof.** Let $A : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ be a similarity. Taking a homogeneous polynomial $p$ in $I_1$ and setting $q = A(p)$, and using [13, Lemma 5.2] and Proposition 2.3, we see that $q \in I_2$ and $\deg p = \deg q$. Since $\|rq\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 \|rp\|^2$ for any homogeneous polynomial $r$, we have

$$(2) \quad \|rq\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 \|rp\|^2,$$

where $l = \deg q$, and $q = \sum_{i=0}^{l} q_i$ is the homogeneous expansion of $q$. Recall that integration in polar coordinates (corresponding the volume measure) is given by [16, page 13]

$$\int_{C_n} f \, dv = \frac{2n \pi^n}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} r^{2n-1} \, dr \int_{\partial B_n} f(r \xi) \, d\sigma.$$  

Hence from (2), we have

$$\int_{\partial B_n} |r(\xi)q_i(\xi)|^2 \, d\sigma \leq \|A\|^2 \int_{\partial B_n} |r(\xi)p(\xi)|^2 \, d\sigma.$$  

Since on the Hardy space $H^2(B_n)$ two homogeneous polynomials with different degrees are orthogonal, this shows that

$$\int_{\partial B_n} |h(\xi)q_i(\xi)|^2 \, d\sigma \leq \|A\|^2 \int_{\partial B_n} |h(\xi)p(\xi)|^2 \, d\sigma.$$
for any polynomial $h$. Let $[q_l]_n$ and $[p]_n$ be invariant subspaces of $H^2(B_n)$ generated by $q_l$, $p$ respectively. Then applying the preceding inequality yields the following bounded module map $B : [p]_n \rightarrow [q_l]_n$, $Bph = q_lh$, for each polynomial $h$. By Lemma 2.5, there is a bounded function $f$ on $\partial B_n$ such that $B = M_f$. This implies that $q_l = fp$ on $\partial B_n$. So, $|q_l(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_\infty |p(\xi)|$ for every $\xi \in \partial B_n$. Since both $p$ and $q_l$ are homogeneous, and $\deg p = \deg q_l = l$, this means that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $|q_l(z)| \leq \|f\|_\infty |p(z)|$. So, the function $q_l(z)/p(z)$ is analytic and bounded on $\mathbb{C}^n$, and it follows that there is a nonzero constant $\gamma$ such that $q_l = \gamma p$. Since $I_2$ is homogeneous, $q_l \in I_2$, and hence $p \in I_2$. The above reasoning shows that $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Note that $A : \overline{T}_1 \rightarrow \overline{T}_2$ be a similarity. The same reasoning gives that $I_2 \subseteq I_1$, and hence $I_1 = I_2$, completing the proof.

3. The similarity orbit of $[z^m]$

From Theorem 2.2, one sees that for homogeneous quasi-invariant subspaces similarity only appears in the case of equality. Therefore, a natural problem is to determine the similarity orbit of quasi-invariant subspaces. Let $M$ be a quasi-invariant subspace. Then the similarity orbit, $\text{orb}_b(M)$, of $M$ consists of all quasi-invariant subspaces which are similar to $M$. There is no doubt that the problem is difficult. Here we will exhibit an example to show how to determine the similarity orbit.

For a polynomial $p$, we let $[p]$ denote the closure of $p\mathbb{P}'$ on the Fock space. Using sheaf theory or [11, Theorem 2.3], one easily verifies that for each $g \in [p]$ there exists an entire function $f$ such that $g = pf$. Moreover, if $p$ is homogeneous, then $[p]$ is quasi-invariant.

**Theorem 3.1.** On the Fock space $L^2_b(\mathbb{C}^2)$, the similarity orbit $\text{orb}_b([z^m])$ of $[z^m]$ consists of $[p(z)]$, where $p(z)$ range over all polynomials in the variable $z$ with $\deg p = m$.

**Proof.** Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial in the variable $z$ with $\deg p = m$. Then we can establish an inequality $C_1 \|z^m f\|^2 \leq \|p(z) f\|^2 \leq C_2 \|z^m f\|^2$ for any entire function $f$, where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are positive constants only depending on $p(z)$. In fact, One can show that there exist positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$, which depend only on $p(z)$ such that

$$C_1 \|z^m g(z)\|^2 \leq \|p(z) g(z)\|^2 \leq C_2 \|z^m g(z)\|$$

for any entire function $g(z)$. Let $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k(z)w^k$ be the expansion of $f$ relative to the variable $w$. Then by the equality $p(z) f = \sum_{k \geq 0} p(z) f_k(z)w^k$, we have

$$\|p(z) f\|^2 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \|p(z) f_k(z)\|^2 \|w^k\|^2.$$
From the above inequality we see
\[ \|p(z)f\|^2 \leq C_2 \sum_{k \geq 0} \|z^m f_k(z)\|^2 \|w^k\|^2 = C_2 \|z^m f\|^2. \]

The same reasoning gives \( \|p(z)f\| \geq C_1 \|z^m f\|^2 \), and hence the required inequality is established.

Since the homogeneous quasi-invariant subspace \([z^m]\) is given by
\[ [z^m] = \{z^m f \in L^2_a(C^2) \mid f \text{ is an entire function}\}, \]
the preceding established inequality gives that
\[ [p(z)] = \{p(z)f \in L^2_a(C^2) \mid f \text{ is an entire function}\}, \]
and hence \([p(z)]\) is quasi-invariant.

Now we establish a map
\[ A : [z^m] \to [p(z)], \quad z^m f \mapsto p(z)f. \tag{3} \]

Then by the preceding discussion and the closed graph theorem, \( A \) is continuous. Obviously, \( A \) is injective, surjective, and is a quasi-module map. Similarly, \( A^{-1} \) also is a quasi-module map, and hence \( A \) is a similarity. On the other hand, we let \( M \) be quasi-invariant, and \( A : [z^m] \to M \) be a similarity. Set \( q = A(z^m) \). We claim that \( q \) is a polynomial in the variable \( z \), and \( \deg q = m \). To prove the claim, we expand \( q \) relative to the variables \( w \), by \( q = q_0(z) + wq_1(z) + w^2q_2(z) + \cdots \). Assume that \( \deg_w q > \deg_w z^m = 0 \), here \( \deg_w q \) denotes degree of \( q \) in the variable \( w \) (allowed to be \( \infty \)). Then there exists a positive integer \( s \) such that \( q_s(z) \neq 0 \). Since
\[ \|A(w^k z^m)\|^2 = \|w^k q\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|w^{k+i} q_i(z)\|^2, \]
this implies that \( \|w^{k+i} q_i(z)\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 \|w^k z^m\|^2 \) for any positive integer \( k \). Since
\[ \|w^{k+i} q_i(z)\|^2 = 2^{k+i}(k + s)! |q_i(z)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|w^k z^m\|^2 = 2^{k+m} k! m! \]
for any positive integer \( k \), this clearly implies that \( q_s = 0 \). This contradicts the assumption, and hence \( \deg_w q = 0 \). So, \( q \) depends only on the variable \( z \). Now we expand \( q \) in the variable \( z \) by \( q(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^k \). If there is a positive integer \( l \), and \( l > m \) such that \( a_l \neq 0 \), then the equality \( A(z^m) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^{k+l} \) implies that
\[ |a_l|^2 \|z^{l+m}\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 \|z^{m+l}\|^2. \]
This leads to the following

\[ 2^{s+1}|a|^2(s + 1)! \leq 2^{m+1}||A||^2(m + s)! \]

for any positive integer \( s \). This clearly is impossible, and hence \( q(z) \) is a polynomial in the variable \( z \) with \( \deg q \leq m \). It is easy to see that \( M = [q] \) because \( A : [z^m] \to M \) coincide on the dense set \( z^mG \) with the map \( A \) considered in (3). Applying Proposition 2.3, \( \deg q = m \). This shows that \( q(z) \) is a polynomial in the variable \( z \) with degree \( m \). Based on the above discussion, we conclude that the similarity orbit \( \text{orb}_z(T) \) consists of \( T^{p(z)} \), where \( p(z) \) range over all polynomials in the variable \( z \) with \( \deg p = m \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark.** From the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is not difficult to see that Theorem 3.1 remains true in the case of the Fock space \( L^2_a(\mathbb{C}^n) \) for any positive integer \( n \). For \( n = 1 \), a related problem is considered in [13].
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