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Abstract

We first give the existence and uniqueness result and a comparison theorem for backward stochastic differential equations with Brownian motion and Poisson process as the noise source in stopping time (unbounded) duration. Then we obtain the existence and uniqueness result for fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equation with Brownian motion and Poisson process in stopping time (unbounded) duration. We also proved a comparison theorem for this kind of equation.
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1. Introduction

Nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations with Brownian motion as noise sources (BSDE in short) have been independently introduced by Pardoux and Peng [11] and Duffie and Epstein [4]. It was soon discovered by Peng [13] that, coupled with a forward stochastic differential equation (SDE in short), such BSDE give a probabilistic interpretation for a large kind of second order quasilinear partial differential equations (PDE in short). In this paper Peng also gave an existence and uniqueness result of BSDE in stopping time duration which can take infinite value. And then Darling and Pardoux [3] proved an existence and uniqueness result for BSDE in stopping time under different assumptions. They applied their result to construct a continuous viscosity solution for a class of semilinear elliptic PDE. In [8], El Karoui, Peng and
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Quenez gave a comparison theorem to BSDE and some applications in optimal control and financial mathematics.

Fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations with Brownian motion (FBSDE in short) can be encountered in the optimization problem when applying stochastic maximum principle and mathematical finance considering large investor in security market. Antonelli [1] first studied this kind of equations and obtained the local existence and uniqueness results, that is, the time duration on which the solutions exist (without explosion) has to be sufficiently small. He also gave a counterexample to show that the Lipschitz condition is not enough for the existence of FBSDE in an arbitrarily large time duration. Using PDE method, Ma, Protter and Yong [9] successfully obtained the existence and uniqueness result for an arbitrarily prescribed time duration. But they needed the forward SDE to be nondegenerate and the coefficients not to be randomly disturbed. Using probability method, Hu and Peng [6] obtained the existence and uniqueness result when forward and backward equations take same dimensions under some monotone assumptions. Hamadene [5] weaken their monotone assumptions and discussed the application in stochastic differential games. Peng and the author [17] extend their results to different dimensional FBSDE and weaken the monotone assumptions so that the results can be used widely. The main method is to introduce an $m \times n$ full rank matrix $G$ to overcome the difficulty of the different dimensions. Yong [21] made the above method systematic and called it ‘continuation method’. In [12], Pardoux and Tang also gave the existence and uniqueness results for FBSDE under some monotone conditions different from [6] and [17]. Recently, Peng and Shi [16] gave an existence and uniqueness result of FBSDE with infinite horizon. But the solution is in a square integrable space, the infinite time value of the solution must be zero.

The BSDE with Poisson process (BSDEP in short) was first discussed by Tang and Li [19]. The stochastic process in the equation is discontinuous with random jump. After then Situ Rong [18] obtained an existence and uniqueness result with non-Lipschitz coefficients for BSDEP. Using this kind of BSDEP Barles, Buckdahn and Pardoux [2] gave the probabilistic interpretation for a system of parabolic integro-partial differential equation and proved that there exists a unique viscosity solution for this kind of PDE systems. In Section 2 we study the BSDEP in stopping time duration, here the stopping time is unbounded and can take infinite value. Under a Lipschitz condition suitable for our case, we get the existence and uniqueness result for BSDEP using fixed point principle and other technique. Further in Section 2, we give a comparison theorem for BSDEP in stopping time. The conclusion is similar with that in [8]. We only need to control the height of the jump in BSDEP.

In Section 3, we consider fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations with Brownian motion and Poisson process (FBSDEP in short) in stopping time duration. Suitable for the case that the stopping time can be infinite, we prove
an existence and uniqueness result under a Lipschitz and monotone assumptions, the infinite time value of the solution not necessarily be required zero.

In Section 4, we give a comparison theorem for FBSDE in stopping time. The idea in the proof is to use duality technique and stopping time technique. The duality technique is usually used in optimal control theory to introduce the adjoint equation for proving the maximum principle (see [14, 20]). Another technique is to analyze the jump height under the limit assumption. This kind of comparison theorem can be used to connect FBSDE with a parabolic integro-PDE system and study the existence of the viscosity solution for this PDE system. The PDE system form should be a PDE combined by the algebra equation. For no jump case this kind of PDE form can be seen in [15]. Here the comparison theorem of FBSDE is established only at time 0, we cannot get the result in the whole random interval. We also give a counterexample to show this point.

2. BSDE in stopping time duration

Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, P)\) be a stochastic basis such that \(\mathcal{F}_0\) contains all \(P\)-null elements of \(\mathcal{F}\) and \(\mathcal{F}_{t+} = \bigcap_{t<\infty} \mathcal{F}_{t+} = \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\). We suppose that the filtration \(\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}\) is generated by the following two mutually independent processes:

- a \(d\)-dimensional standard Brownian motion \(\{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}\) and
- a Poisson random measure \(N\) on \(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{Z}\), where \(\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^l\) is nonempty open set equipped with its Borel field \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})\), with compensator \(\tilde{N}(dz, dt) = n(dz) dt\), such that \(\tilde{N}(A \times [0, t]) = (N - \tilde{N})(A \times [0, t])_{t\geq 0}\) is a martingale for all \(A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})\) satisfying \(n(A) < \infty\). \(n\) is assumed to be a \(\sigma\)-finite measure on \((\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z}))\) and called the characteristic measure. \(\mathcal{F}_\infty = \bigvee_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{F}_t\). Let \(\tau = \{\tau(\omega)\}\) be \(\mathcal{F}_t\) stopping time and take value in \([0, \infty]\). We introduce the following notations:

\[
\mathcal{H}^2 = \{v_t, 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \text{ is a } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ adapted process such that } \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |v_t|^2\right] < \infty\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^2 = \{\xi, \xi \text{ is a } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ measurable random variable such that } \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty\},
\]

\[
F^2 = \left\{k_t(\cdot), 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \text{ is a } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ predictable process such that } \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |k_t(z)|^2 n(dz) dt\right] < \infty\right\}.
\]

We consider the following BSDE in stopping time duration

\[
(2.1) \quad p_t = \xi + \int_t^\tau f(s, p_s, q_s, k_s) ds - \int_t^\tau q_s dB_s - \int_t^\tau \int_{\mathcal{Z}} k_s(z) \tilde{N} (dz, ds),
\]

where \(t \geq 0, \xi \in L^2\) and \(f\) is a map from \(\Omega \times [0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m\) onto \(\mathbb{R}^m\) which satisfies
(H2.1) For every \((p, q, k) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d + m}\), \(f(\cdot, p, q, k)\) is progressively measurable and 
\[ \mathbb{E}\left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(s, 0, 0, 0)| \, ds \right)^2 < \infty. \]

(H2.2) There exist three positive deterministic functions \(u_1(t), u_2(t)\) and \(u_3(t)\), such that 
\[ \forall (p', q', k'), i = 1, 2, \]
\[ |f(t, p^1, q^1, k^1) - f(t, p^2, q^2, k^2)| \]
\[ \leq u_1(t)|p^1 - p^2| + u_2(t)|q^1 - q^2| + u_3(t)|k^1 - k^2|, \quad t \geq 0, \]
and 
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} u_1(t) \, dt < \infty, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} u_2(t) \, dt < \infty, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} u_3(t) \, dt < \infty. \]

Then we have

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume \(\xi \in L^2\) and \(f\) satisfies (H2.1)–(H2.2), then there exists a unique solution \((p, q, k) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F^2_N\) satisfying the BSDEP \((2.1)\).

**Proof.** For the uniqueness, let \((\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{k})\) be another solution, we set \(\tilde{p} = (p - \tilde{p})\), 
\(\tilde{q} = (q - \tilde{q})\), \(\tilde{k} = (k - \tilde{k})\). Using Itô’s formula to \(|\tilde{p}|^2\), similarly with the proof in [11] for fixed time \(T\) without jump except the Lipschitz constants being replaced by 
\(u_1(t), u_2(t)\) and \(u_3(t), t \geq 0\), we can get the conclusion from the assumption (H2.2) and Gronwall’s lemma.

For the existence we want to construct one contraction map for \((2.1)\) and get the solution. However, the stopping time duration is unbounded and can be infinite, so we cannot get this in one step. We divide the proof into two steps.

First step. Assume
\[ \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} u_1(t) \, dt \right)^2 + \int_{0}^{\infty} u_2(t) \, dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} u_3(t) \, dt < \frac{1}{15}. \]

For every \((p, q, k) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F^2_N\), we have
\[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi + \int_{0}^{t} f(t, p_t, q_t, k_t) \, dt \right]^2 \]
\[ \leq \mathbb{E}\left[ |\xi| + \int_{0}^{t} (|f(t, 0, 0, 0)| + u_1(t)|p_t| + u_2(t)|q_t| + u_3(t)|k_t|) \, dt \right]^2 \]
and
\[ \mathbb{E}\left( \int_{0}^{t} u_1(t)|p_t| \, dt \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} u_1(t) \, dt \right)^2 \|p(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}^2 < \infty, \]
\[ \mathbb{E}\left( \int_{0}^{t} u_2(t)|q_t| \, dt \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} u_2(t) \, dt \right) \|q(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 < \infty, \]
\[ \mathbb{E}\left( \int_{0}^{t} u_3(t)|k_t| \, dt \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} u_3(t) \, dt \right) \|k(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}^2_N}^2 < \infty. \]
Then \( \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi + \int_0^T f(s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, ds \right| \mathcal{F}_{t,T}] \) is a square integral martingale. From martingale representation theorem, there exists \((Q_s, K_s)\) satisfying

\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ \xi + \int_0^T f(s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, ds \right| \mathcal{F}_{t,T}] = \mathbb{E}\left[ \xi + \int_0^T f(s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, ds \right] + \int_0^T Q_s \, dB_s + \int_0^T \int_Z K_{s,z} \tilde{N}(dz) \, ds.
\]

We let \( P_{t,T} = \mathbb{E}[\xi + \int_0^T f(s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, ds | \mathcal{F}_{t,T}] \), then \( P(\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}_2 \) and \((P, Q, K)\) is the solution of the BSDEP

\[
(2.2) \quad P_{t,T} = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, ds - \int_t^T Q_s \, dB_s - \int_t^T \int_Z K_{s,z} \tilde{N}(dz) \, ds.
\]

This equation introduces the map \( \Phi : \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{F}_K \to \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{F}_K \) by \( \Phi : (p, q, k) \to (P, Q, K) \). We use the following method, which is similar with that in [1], to get the solution of BSDE in \( L^1 \) space within the fixed time duration, to prove the above map is a contraction. Let \( \Phi : (p^i, q^i, k^i) \to (P^i, Q^i, K^i), i = 1, 2 \), \( \tilde{P} = P^1 - P^2, \tilde{Q} = Q^1 - Q^2, \tilde{K} = K^1 - K^2 \), \( \tilde{p} = p^1 - p^2, \tilde{q} = q^1 - q^2, \tilde{k} = k^1 - k^2 \), \( \tilde{f}_i = f(s, p^i, q^i, k^i) - f(s, p^2, q^2, k^2) \). From Doob’s inequality,

\[
\| \tilde{P}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 = \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left( \int_t^T |\tilde{f}_i| \, ds \right)^2 \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |\tilde{f}_i| \, ds \right)^2,
\]

\[
\| \tilde{Q}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 + \| \tilde{K}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{F}_K}^2 = \mathbb{E} \left( \int_t^T |\tilde{f}_i| \, ds \right)^2 - \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |\tilde{f}_i| \, ds \right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |\tilde{f}_i| \, ds \right)^2.
\]

We note that \( \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{F}_K \). So

\[
\| \Phi(p^1, q^1, k^1) - \Phi(p^2, q^2, k^2) \|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2
\]

\[
= \| \tilde{P}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 + \| \tilde{Q}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 + \| \tilde{K}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{F}_K}^2 \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |\tilde{f}_i| \, ds \right)^2
\]

\[
\leq 15 \left[ \int_0^\infty u_1(t) \, dt \right]^2 + \int_0^\infty u_2^2(t) \, dt + \int_0^\infty u_3^2(t) \, dt
\]

\[
\times \left[ \| \tilde{p}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 + \| \tilde{q}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 + \| \tilde{k}(\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{F}_K}^2 \right].
\]

From the assumption \( \int_0^\infty u_1(t) \, dt < \infty, \int_0^\infty u_2^2(t) \, dt < \infty, \int_0^\infty u_3^2(t) \, dt < 1/15 \), then \( \Phi : \mathcal{B}_2 \to \mathcal{B}_2 \) is a strict contraction, BSDEP (2.1) has one unique solution.

Second step. Assume \( \int_0^\infty u_1(t) \, dt < \infty, \int_0^\infty u_2^2(t) \, dt < \infty, \int_0^\infty u_3^2(t) \, dt < 1/15 \).

Then there exists \( T > 0 \), such that \( \left( \int_0^T u_1(t) \, dt \right)^2 + \int_0^\infty u_2^2(t) \, dt + \int_0^\infty u_3^2(t) \, dt < 1/15 \) is satisfied Lipschitz condition.
Then we consider the following BSDE,

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{p}_{t,\tau} & = \xi + \int_{t,\tau}^{T} f(s; \tilde{p}_s, \tilde{q}_s, \tilde{k}_s) \, ds - \int_{t,\tau}^{T} \tilde{q}_s \, dB_s - \int_{t,\tau}^{T} \tilde{k}_s \, d\tilde{N}(dZ) \\
& = \tilde{p}_{t,\tau} + \int_{t,\tau}^{T} f(s; \tilde{p}_s, \tilde{q}_s, \tilde{k}_s) \, ds - \int_{t,\tau}^{T} \tilde{q}_s \, dB_s - \int_{t,\tau}^{T} \tilde{k}_s \, d\tilde{N}(dZ),
\end{align*}
\]

\( t \in [0, T \wedge \tau]. \) For the result in [10] or the result for fixed time in [19], which only need minor change suitable for our case, there exists unique solution \((\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{k})\). Let us set \( p_t = I_{[0,T \wedge \tau]}(t)\tilde{p}_t + I_{[T \wedge \tau,T]}(t)\tilde{p}_t, \quad q_t = I_{[0,T \wedge \tau]}(t)\tilde{q}_t + I_{[T \wedge \tau,T]}(t)\tilde{q}_t, \quad k_t = I_{[0,T \wedge \tau]}(t)\tilde{k}_t + I_{[T \wedge \tau,T]}(t)\tilde{k}_t, \) it is easy to check that this is a solution of BSDE (2.1). The proof is completed.

Similarly to the comparison theorem of BSDE in [8], we will give this kind of theorem for BSDE in stopping time in the remaining part of this section. But the appearance of jump process needs one new condition to limit the height of the jump besides the Lipschitz condition in (H2.2).

We consider the following two BSDEPs in stopping time, here \( m = 1. \)

\[
\begin{align*}
p_t^i & = \xi^i + \int_{t,\tau}^{T} f^i(s; p_s^i, q_s^i, k_s^i) \, ds - \int_{t,\tau}^{T} q_s^i \, dB_s - \int_{t,\tau}^{T} k_s^i \, d\tilde{N}(dZ),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( i = 1, 2, \xi^i \in L^2, f^i \) satisfy (H2.1) and (H2.2). From Theorem 2.1, there exist \((p^i(\cdot), q^i(\cdot), k^i(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F_T^2\) which satisfy BSDE (2.5) respectively. We also assume

(H2.3) \( \xi^1 \geq \xi^2, f^1(s; p_s^2, q_s^2, k_s^2) \geq f^2(s; p_s^2, q_s^2, k_s^2), s \geq 0, \)

(H2.4) \(-c_2(s) < (f^1(s; p_s^2, q_s^2, k_s^1) - f^2(s; p_s^2, q_s^2, k_s^2))/(k_s^1 - k_s^2) < c_1(s), \) when \( k_s^1 - k_s^2 \neq 0, \) \( c_1(s) \) and \( c_2(s) \) are two positive deterministic functions which satisfy \( \int_0^\infty c_1(s) \, ds < \infty, \int_0^\infty c_2(s) \, ds < \infty, \) and \( c_2(s) < 1, s \geq 0. \)

Then we have

**THEOREM 2.2.** For every \( t \geq 0, \) \( p^1_{t,\tau} \geq p^2_{t,\tau}. \)

The proof is almost the same as the proof of the comparison theorem [8, Theorem 2.2] for BSDE without jump. We omit it.

When \( \tau \leq T < \infty, \) we can take \( u_1(t), u_2(t) \) and \( u_3(t), 0 \leq t \leq T, \) to be constants, then the result of BSDE in bounded time duration is the special case of our result in this section.
3. Existence and uniqueness of FBSDE in stopping time duration

In this section, we discuss the fully coupled FBSDE in stopping time duration. We consider

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
x_t &= a + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} b(s, x_s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, ds + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \sigma(s, x_s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, dB_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_Z g(s, x_s, p_s, q_s, k_s, z) \, \tilde{N}(dz \, ds), \\
p_{t \wedge \tau} &= \Phi(x_t) + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^\tau f(s, x_s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \, ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^\tau q_s \, dB_s \\
&\quad - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \int_Z k_s(z) \, \tilde{N}(dz \, ds).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(3.1)

Here $t > 0$, $(x, p, q, k)$ take value in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $b : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\sigma : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, $g : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $\Phi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$. We assume the following:

(H3.1) For every $(x, p, q, k) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+m+m \times d+m}$, $\Phi(x) \in L^2$, $b, \sigma, g$ and $f$ are progressively measurable and

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^\infty |b(s, 0, 0, 0, 0)|^2 \, ds \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^\infty |f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0)|^2 \, ds \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty |\sigma(s, 0, 0, 0, 0)|^2 \, ds + \mathbb{E} \int_Z \int_0^\infty |g(s, 0, 0, 0, z)|^2 n(dz) \, ds < \infty.
\]

(H3.2) There exists a positive deterministic bounded function $u_1(t)$, such that for every $(x^i, p^i, q^i, k^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+m+m \times d+m}$, $i = 1, 2$,

\[
\begin{align*}
|t(t, x^1, p^1, q^1, k^1) - l(t, x^2, p^2, q^2, k^2)| \\
&\quad \leq u_1(t) \left[ |x^1 - x^2| + |p^1 - p^2| + |q^1 - q^2| + |k^1 - k^2| \right], \quad t \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]

$l = b, \sigma, f, g$ respectively, and $\int_0^\infty u_1(t) \, dt < \infty$, $\int_0^\infty u_2(t) \, dt < \infty$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|$.

We introduce the notations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
x \\
p \\
q \\
k
\end{pmatrix}, \quad A(t, u) = \begin{pmatrix}
-f \\
b \\
\sigma \\
g
\end{pmatrix} (t, u),
\]
where \( \sigma = (\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_d) \). We use the usual inner product and Euclidean norm in \( \mathbb{R}^m \), \( \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \) and assume the following monotone assumptions:

\[
(\text{H}3.3) \quad \text{For every } u = (x, p, q, k), \; \tilde{u} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{k}), \; \tilde{u} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{k}) = (x - \bar{x}, \; p - \bar{p}, \; q - \bar{q}, \; k - \bar{k}), \;
\begin{align*}
\langle A(t, u) - A(t, \tilde{u}), \tilde{u} \rangle &\leq -\beta_1 u_1(t) |\tilde{x}|^2 - \beta_2 u_1(t) (|\tilde{p}|^2 + |\tilde{q}|^2 + |\tilde{k}|^2), \\
\langle \Phi(x) - \Phi(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle &\geq \mu_1 |\tilde{x}|^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) and \( \mu_1 \) are given nonnegative constants with \( \beta_1 + \beta_2 > 0, \mu_1 + \beta_2 > 0 \).

\textbf{Remark 3.1.} (i) For notational simplicity, we take the same function \( u_1(t) \) in \textbf{(H3.2)} and \textbf{(H3.3)}.

(ii) We only consider the same dimensional case of \( x \) and \( p \). When \( x \) and \( p \) take different dimensions such as \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \; p \in \mathbb{R}^m \), we can introduce a full rank \( m \times n \) matrix and deal with it using the method in [17] to get the same result as the following Theorem 3.1.

\textbf{Theorem 3.1.} We assume \textbf{(H3.1)}, \textbf{(H3.2)} and \textbf{(H3.3)} hold, then FBSDEP (3.1) has a unique solution \((x(\cdot), p(\cdot), q(\cdot), k(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F_T^2\).

\textbf{Proof.} For the uniqueness, let \( u_s = (x_s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \) and \( \tilde{u}_s = (\tilde{x}_s, \tilde{p}_s, \tilde{q}_s, \tilde{k}_s) \) be two solutions of (3.1). We set \( \hat{u} = (x - \bar{x}, p - \bar{p}, q - \bar{q}, k - \bar{k}) = (\hat{x}, \hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{k}) \) and apply Itô’s formula to \((\hat{x}, \hat{p})\). Using the same technique, which was used to prove the uniqueness for FBSDE in [17], and the the uniqueness result for BSDE and for stochastic differential equation with jump in [7], we can easily get the conclusion. \qed

To prove the existence, we can consider two cases according to the signs of \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) and \( \mu_1 \), this makes the proof clear and easy to understand.

First case, \( \beta_1 > 0, \mu_1 > 0 \) and \( \beta_2 \geq 0 \).

We consider the following family of FBSDEP parametrized by \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

\[
\begin{cases}
x_t^\alpha = a + \int_0^t [ab(s, u_t^\alpha) + \phi_s] ds + \int_0^t [\alpha \sigma(s, u_t^\alpha) + \psi_s] dB_s \\
\quad + \int_0^t \int_Z [\alpha g(s, u_t^\alpha, z) + \lambda_s(z)] \tilde{N}(dz \, ds), \\
p_t^\alpha = \alpha \Phi(x_t^\alpha) + (1 - \alpha) x_t^\alpha + \xi + \int_0^t [(1 - \alpha) \beta_1 u_1(s) x_t^\alpha + \alpha f(s, u_t^\alpha) + \gamma_s] ds \\
\quad - \int_0^t q_s^\alpha dB_s - \int_0^t \int_Z k_s^\alpha(z) \tilde{N}(dz \, ds),
\end{cases}
\]

\textbf{(3.2)}
where $\phi$, $\psi$, $\gamma$ and $\lambda$ are given processes with values in $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$ respectively, $\xi \in L^2$ and
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |\phi_s| ds \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |\gamma_s| ds \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \lambda_s^2 \mathcal{Q}(d\xi) \int_0^T \lambda_s^2 |\lambda_s|^2 d\mu \leq \infty.
\]

Clearly, when $\alpha = 1$, the existence of the solution of (3.2) implies this of (3.1). When $\alpha = 0$, it is easy to see that there exists a solution of (3.2). So we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** We assume that (H3.1), (H3.2) and (H3.3) hold. Then there exists a positive constant $\delta_0$ such that if, apriorily, for $\alpha_0 \in [0, 1)$ there exists a solution $(x_{\alpha_0}, p_{\alpha_0}, q_{\alpha_0}, k_{\alpha_0})$ of (3.2), then for each $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, there exists a solution $(x_{\alpha_0+\delta}, p_{\alpha_0+\delta}, q_{\alpha_0+\delta}, k_{\alpha_0+\delta}) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F^2$ of (3.2) for $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \delta$.

**Proof.** Since for each $\phi, \gamma, \psi, \lambda, \alpha_0 \in [0, 1)$, there exists a solution of (3.2), then, for each triple
\[
u_t = (x_s, p_s, q_s, k_s) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F^2,
\]

there exists a unique triple $U_t = (X_s, P_s, Q_s, K_s) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F^2$ satisfying the following FBSDE

\[
X_t = a + \int_0^t \left[ \alpha_0 b(s, U_s) + \delta b(s, u_s) + \phi_s \right] ds
+ \int_0^t \int_0^T \left( \alpha_0 \sigma(s, U_s) + \delta \sigma(s, u_s) + \psi_s \right) dB_s
+ \int_0^T \int_0^Z \left( \alpha_0 g(s, U_s, z) + \delta g(s, u_s, z) + \lambda_s \right) \tilde{N}(dz, ds)
\]

\[
P_t = \alpha_0 \Phi(X_t) + (1 - \alpha_0) X_t + \delta (\Phi(x_t) - x_t) + \xi
+ \int_0^T \left[ (1 - \alpha_0) \beta_i u_i (s, X_t) + \alpha_0 f(s, U_s) + \delta (-\beta_i u_i (s, X_t) + f(s, U_s)) + \gamma_s \right] ds
- \int_0^T Q_s dB_s - \int_0^T \int_0^Z K_s (z) \tilde{N}(dz, ds).
\]

We want to prove that the mapping defined by

\[
I_{\alpha_0+\delta}(u \times x) = U \times X : \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathcal{F}^2 \times \mathbb{R}
\]

is a contraction.
We note that $\mathcal{B}^2 = \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F^2_N$ and let $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{k}) \in \mathcal{B}^2$, $\tilde{X} = I_{u_\delta}(\tilde{u} \times X_s)$. Using the same notations for $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{U}$ as above and applying Itô’s formula to $Gundy$ inequality, we get

\begin{equation}
(3.3) \quad [\alpha_0 \mu_1 + (1 - \alpha_0)]E[\tilde{X}_t^2] + \beta_1 E \int_0^T u_1(s)[\tilde{X}_s^2] ds \\
\leq \delta C_1 E[\tilde{x}_t^2] + \delta C_1 E[\tilde{X}_t^2] \\
+ \delta C_1 \left[ \int_0^\infty u_1^2(s) ds + \left( \int_0^\infty u_1(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \|\tilde{U}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 + \|\tilde{u}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2.
\end{equation}

Using Itô’s formula to $|\tilde{P}(\cdot)|^2$ and then Gronwall’s Lemma and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we get

\begin{equation}
\|\tilde{P}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 + \|\tilde{Q}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 + \|\tilde{K}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 \\
\leq C_2 \left[ E \int_0^T u_1(s)[\tilde{X}_s^2] ds + E[\tilde{X}_t^2] \right] + \delta C_3 E[\tilde{x}_t^2] \\
+ \delta C_3 \left[ \int_0^\infty u_1^2(s) ds + \left( \int_0^\infty u_1(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \|\tilde{u}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2.
\end{equation}

Applying the usual technique to the forward stochastic differential equation and combining with (3.3), we get

\begin{equation}
\|\tilde{U}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 + \|\tilde{X}_t\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 \leq \delta M \left[ \|\tilde{u}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 + \|\tilde{x}_t\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2 \right].
\end{equation}

Here the constants $C_1$, $C_2$ and $M$ depend on $\beta_1$, $\mu_1$ and $C$.

We now choose $\delta_0 = 1/(2M)$. It is clear that, for each fixed $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$, the mapping $I_{u_\delta}$ is a contraction and has a unique fixed point

\begin{equation}
U_{u_\delta} = (X_{u_\delta}, P_{u_\delta}, Q_{u_\delta}, K^0_{u_\delta})
\end{equation}

which is the solution of (3.2) for $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \delta$. The proof is complete. 

Second case: $\beta_2 > 0$, $\beta_1 \geq 0$, $\mu_1 \geq 0$.

We need to consider the following family of FBSDE parametrized by $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

\begin{equation}
(3.4)
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_s^\alpha = a + \int_0^s \left[ \alpha b(s, u_s^\alpha) + (1 - \alpha) \beta_2 (-u_1(s) p_s^\alpha) + \Phi_s \right] ds \\
+ \int_0^s \left[ \alpha \sigma(s, u_s^\alpha) + (1 - \alpha) \beta_2 (-u_1(s) q_s^\alpha) + \Psi_s \right] dB_s \\
+ \int_0^s \int_Z [\alpha g(s, u_s, z) + (1 - \alpha) \beta_2 (-u_1(s) k_s^\alpha) + \lambda_s(z)] \tilde{N}(dz ds) \\
p_s^\alpha = \alpha \Phi(x_s^\alpha) + \xi + \int_0^s \left[ \alpha f(s, u_s^\alpha) + \gamma_s \right] ds - \int_0^s q_s^\alpha dB_s - \int_0^s k_s^\alpha(z) \tilde{N}(dz ds),
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
where $\phi$, $\psi$, $\gamma$, $\lambda$ and $\xi$ satisfy the same assumptions as that in (3.2). Similarly to Lemma 3.2, we can show the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** We assume (H3.1), (H3.2) and (H3.3) hold, then there exists a positive constant $\delta_0$ such that if, apriorily, for an $\alpha_0 \in [0, 1)$ there exists a solution $(x^{\alpha_0}, p^{\alpha_0}, q^{\alpha_0}, k^{\alpha_0})$ of (3.4), then for each $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$ there exists a solution $(x^{\alpha_0+\delta}, p^{\alpha_0+\delta}, q^{\alpha_0+\delta}, k^{\alpha_0+\delta}) \in \mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times F^2_N$ of (3.4) for $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \delta$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1 (Existence).** From the assumption (H3.3), we know that either (i) $\beta_1 > 0$, $\mu_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 \geq 0$ or (ii) $\beta_1 \geq 0$, $\mu_1 \geq 0$, $\beta_2 > 0$. In the first case, we consider (3.2) and when $\alpha = 0$, (3.2) has a unique solution. It then follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a positive constant $\delta_0$ such that for each $\alpha_0 \in [0, \delta_0]$, (3.2) has a unique solution for $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \delta$. We can repeat this process $N$-times with $1 < N \delta_0 < 1 + \delta_0$. It then follows that, in particular, for $\alpha = 1$ with $\phi_i = 0$, $\gamma_i = 0$, $\psi_i = 0$, $\lambda_i = 0$ and $\xi = 0$ (3.2) has a unique solution.

In the second case, we consider (3.4) and when $\alpha = 0$, FBSDEP (3.4) has a unique solution. It then follows from Lemma 3.3, by repeating the same process as in the first case, that we get the desired conclusion. The proof is completed.

**Remark 3.2.** If we replace (H3.3) by the following (H3.4) For every $u = (x, p, q, k)$, $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{k})$, $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{k}) = (x - \tilde{x}, p - \tilde{p}, q - \tilde{q}, k - \tilde{k})$,

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle A(t, u) - A(t, \tilde{u}), \tilde{u} \rangle & \geq \beta_1 u_1(t)|\tilde{x}|^2 + \beta_2 u_1(t)(|\tilde{p}|^2 + |\tilde{q}|^2 + |\tilde{k}|^2) \\
\langle \Phi(x) - \Phi(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle & \leq -\mu_1 |\tilde{x}|^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$ and $\mu_1$ are given nonnegative constants with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 > 0$, $\mu_1 + \beta_2 > 0$. Using a similar method as in Theorem 3.1, we can also prove that FBSDEP (3.1) has the unique solution.

**Remark 3.3.** When the stopping time $\tau \leq T < \infty$, $u_1(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, can be replaced by the constant, then the existence and uniqueness result of FBSDEP in bounded time duration is the special case of Theorem 3.1.

4. The comparison theorem of FBSDEP in stopping time duration

In this section, we give a comparison theorem to FBSDEP in stopping time. This theorem is one of important properties of FBSDEP. We consider the following two
FBSDEPs,
\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_i^t = a^i + \int_0^{t \wedge T} b(s, x^i_s, p^i_s, q^i_s) \, ds + \int_0^{t \wedge T} \sigma(s, x^i_s, p^i_s, q^i_s) \, dB_s \\
+ \int_0^{t \wedge T} \int_Z g(s, x^i_s, p^i_s, q^i_s, z) \tilde{N}(dz \, ds), \quad i = 1, 2, \\
p^i_t = \Phi^i(x^i_t) + \int_0^{T} f^i(s, x^i_s, p^i_s, q^i_s, k^i_s) \, ds - \int_0^{T} q^i_s \, dB_s \\
- \int_0^{t \wedge T} \int_Z k^i_s \tilde{N}(dz \, ds).
\end{cases}
\] (4.1)

The coefficients of FBSDE (4.1), \(i = 1, 2\), both satisfy (H3.1), (H3.2) and (H3.3), then there exists the solution \(x^i, p^i, q^i, k^i\) respectively.

In the following part, we only consider \(m = 1\), in fact we can also deal with the case when \(x\) takes multidimensional value such as \(x^2\). For that case, we need to introduce a \(1 \times n\) nonzero vector \(G\) in the monotone assumptions to ensure the existence and uniqueness for different dimensional FBSDEP the same as that in [17]. We assume

(H4.1) For every \(x \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0\),
\[
\begin{align*}
& \{a^1 \geq a^2, \quad \Phi^1(x) \geq \Phi^2(x)\}, \quad \text{a.s.} \\
& \{f^1(s, x, p, q, k) \geq f^2(s, x, p, q, k)\}, \quad \text{a.s.}
\end{align*}
\]

The introduction of a random jump let the solutions \(x\) and \(p\) to be not continuous, so we also need the following condition to control the jump height.

(H4.2) \(-1 < \frac{f^1(s, x^i_s, p^i_s, q^i_s, k^i_s) - f^1(s, x^i_s, p^i_s, q^i_s, k^i_s)}{k^i_s - k^i_s}, \quad k^i_s - k^i_s \neq 0, \), a.s.

Then we have

**Theorem 4.1.** \(p^1_0 \geq p^2_0\)

**Proof.** For notational convenience, we assume \(d = 1\) and first consider the following FBSDE:

\[
\begin{cases}
\ddot{x}_i = a^i + \int_0^{t \wedge T} b(s, \ddot{x}_s, \ddot{p}_s, \ddot{q}_s) \, ds + \int_0^{t \wedge T} \sigma(s, \ddot{x}_s, \ddot{p}_s, \ddot{q}_s) \, dB_s \\
+ \int_0^{t \wedge T} \int_Z g(s, \ddot{x}_s, \ddot{p}_s, \ddot{q}_s, z) \tilde{N}(dz \, ds), \quad i = 1, 2, \\
\dddot{p}_i = \Phi^i(\dddot{x}_i) + \int_0^{T} f^i(s, \dddot{x}_s, \dddot{p}_s, \dddot{q}_s, \dddot{k}_s) \, ds - \int_0^{T} \dddot{q}_s \, dB_s \\
- \int_0^{t \wedge T} \int_Z \dddot{k}_s \tilde{N}(dz \, ds).
\end{cases}
\] (4.2)
Obviously, the above FBSDEP has a unique solution \((\hat{x}, \hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{k})\). We set \(\hat{x} = x^1 - \bar{x},\)
\[\hat{p} = p^1 - \bar{p}, \hat{q} = q^1 - \bar{q}, \hat{k} = k^1 - \bar{k},\]
the quartet \((\hat{x}, \hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{k})\) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}_t &= \int_0^t (b^1_s \hat{x}_s + b^2_s \hat{p}_s + b^3_s \hat{q}_s) \, ds + \int_0^t (\sigma^1_s \hat{x}_s + \sigma^2_s \hat{p}_s + \sigma^3_s \hat{q}_s) \, dB_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_Z (g^1_{s,t} \hat{x}_s + g^2_{s,t} \hat{p}_s + g^3_{s,t} \hat{q}_s) \tilde{N}(dz \, ds) \\
\hat{p}_t &= \Phi_1 \hat{x}_t + \Phi^1(\hat{x}_t) - \Phi^2(\hat{x}_t) \int_{s=t}^{t} (f^{11}_s \hat{p}_s + f^{12}_s \hat{p}_s + f^{13}_s \hat{q}_s) \, ds \\
&\quad + f^{14}_t \hat{k}_t + f^{1}_t \, ds - \int_{s=t}^{t} \hat{q}_s \, dB_s - \int_{s=t}^{t} \int_Z \tilde{k}_s(z) \tilde{N}(dz \, ds),
\end{align*}
\]  
(4.3)

where \(\bar{f}_t = f^1(s, \bar{x}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{k}) - f^2(s, \bar{x}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{k}),\)

\[
\Phi = \begin{cases}
\frac{\Phi^1(x^1_t) - \Phi^1(\bar{x}_t)}{x^1_t - \bar{x}_t}, & \hat{x}_t \neq 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
l^1_t = \begin{cases}
\frac{l(s, x^1_s, p^1_s, q^1_s) - l(s, \bar{x}_s, p^1_s, q^1_s)}{x^1_s - \bar{x}_s}, & \hat{x}_t \neq 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
l^2_t = \begin{cases}
\frac{l(s, \bar{x}_s, p^1_s, q^1_s) - l(s, \bar{x}_s, \bar{p}_s, q^1_s)}{p^1_s - \bar{p}_s}, & \hat{p}_s \neq 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
l^3_t = \begin{cases}
\frac{l(s, \bar{x}_s, \bar{p}_s, q^1_s) - l(s, \bar{x}_s, \bar{p}_s, \bar{q}_s)}{q^1_s - \bar{q}_s}, & \hat{q}_s \neq 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\(l = b, \sigma, g\) respectively.

\[
f^{11}_s = \begin{cases}
\frac{f^1(s, x^1_s, p^1_s, q^1_s, k^1_s) - f^1(s, \bar{x}_s, p^1_s, q^1_s, k^1_s)}{x^1_s - \bar{x}_s}, & \hat{x}_t \neq 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
f^{12}_s = \begin{cases}
\frac{f^1(s, \bar{x}_s, p^1_s, q^1_s, k^1_s) - f^1(s, \bar{x}_s, \bar{p}_s, q^1_s, k^1_s)}{p^1_s - \bar{p}_s}, & \hat{p}_s \neq 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
f^{13}_s = \begin{cases}
\frac{f^1(s, \bar{x}_s, \bar{p}_s, q^1_s, k^1_s) - f^1(s, \bar{x}_s, \bar{p}_s, \bar{q}_s, k^1_s)}{q^1_s - \bar{q}_s}, & \hat{q}_s \neq 0, \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]
It is easy to check that (4.3) satisfies (H3.1), (H3.2) and (H3.3), thus $(\hat{x}, \hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{k})$ is the unique solution of (4.3). We first need to prove that $\hat{p}_0 \geq 0$. We use the duality technique and introduce the dual FBSDEP

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
M_t &= 1 + \int_0^t (f^{13}_s M_s - b^3_s N_t - \sigma^3_s U_t - g^3_s V_t) \, ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_Z f^{14}_s M_s \tilde{N}(dz \, ds), \\
N_t &= -\hat{\Phi} M_t + \int_0^t (-f^1_s M_s + b^1_s N_s + \sigma^1_s U_s + g^1_s V_s) \, ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t U_s \, dB_s - \int_0^t \int_Z V_s(z) \tilde{N}(dz \, ds).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(4.4)

The duality technique is usually used to introduce the adjoint equation in optimal control theory when we want to get the maximum principle (see [14] and [20]). From (4.3) satisfying (H3.1), (H3.2) and (H3.3), we can verify that (4.4) satisfies (H3.1), (H3.2) and (H3.4). Then it follows from Remark 3.2 that there exists a unique quartet $(M, N, U, V)$ which is the solution of (4.4).

Applying Itô’s formula to $\hat{x}, N_t + \hat{p}_t M_t$, we have

\[ \hat{p}_0 = \mathbb{E}(\Phi^1(\hat{x}) - \Phi^2(\hat{x})) M_\tau + \mathbb{E} \int_0^\tau M_s \hat{f}_s \, ds. \]

From (H4.1) and $M_0 = 1 > 0$, if we can prove $M_{s\wedge \tau} \geq 0$, a.s. $s \geq 0$, then $\hat{p}_0 \geq 0$.

Let us define the following stopping time

\[ \nu = \inf\{t > 0; M_t \leq 0\} \wedge \tau. \]

So $\nu \leq \tau$, a.s. and $M_{\nu-} \geq 0$. In the first equation of (4.4), the noncontinuous part of $M_t$ is only produced by random measure $N$, from (H4.2),

\[ \Delta M_s \geq -M_{\nu-}, \quad M_s = M_{\nu-} + \Delta M_s \geq 0, \]

so $M_s = 0$, when $\nu < \tau$. We can introduce $(\bar{M}_t, \bar{N}_t, \bar{U}_t, \bar{V}_t), t \in [\nu, \tau]$, which satisfies
the following FBSDEP

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{M}_t = & \int_0^t (f_s^{12} \bar{M}_s - b_s^2 \bar{N}_s - \sigma_s^2 \bar{U}_s - g_s^2 \bar{V}_s) \, ds \\
& + \int_0^t (f_s^{13} \bar{M}_s - b_s^2 \bar{N}_s - \sigma_s^3 \bar{U}_s - g_s^3 \bar{V}_s) \, dB_s \\
& + \int_0^t \int_Z f_s^{14} M_s \tilde{N}(dz) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.5)

Then it is easy to see that \((\bar{M}_t, \bar{N}_t, \bar{U}_t, \bar{V}_t) \equiv (0, 0, 0, 0)\) is the unique solution. Now we let

\[
\begin{align*}
M'_t &= 1_{[0,1]}(t) M_t + 1_{(1,\tau]}(t) \bar{M}_t, \\
N'_t &= 1_{[0,1]}(t) N_t + 1_{(1,\tau]}(t) \bar{N}_t \\
U'_t &= 1_{[0,1]}(t) U_t + 1_{(1,\tau]}(t) \bar{U}_t, \\
V'_t &= 1_{[0,1]}(t) V_t + 1_{(1,\tau]}(t) \bar{V}_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to see that \((M'_t, N'_t, U'_t, V'_t)\) is a solution of (4.4), from Remark 3.2, this is the unique solution. From \(M'_0 = M_0 = 1 > 0\) and \(M'_s \geq 0\), obviously \(M'_{s,\tau} \geq 0\), a.s. \(s \geq 0\), that is, \(M'_{s,\tau} \geq 0\). So we have \(p'_0 \geq \tilde{p}_0\).

Now we try to compare \(\tilde{p}_0\) with \(p^2_0\), and then get the desired conclusion. If \(a^1 = a^2\), from Theorem 3.1, \(\tilde{p}_0 = p^0_0\), then \(p'_0 \geq p^2_0\). If \(a^1 > a^2\), we set

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x} &= (\bar{x} - x^2), \\
\tilde{x} &= (\tilde{\bar{p}} - p^2), \\
\tilde{u} &= (\bar{u} - u^2)
\end{align*}
\]

and apply Itô’s formula to \(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{u}\),

\[
\mathbb{E}(\Phi(\bar{x}) - \Phi(x^2))\tilde{x}_s - (\tilde{p}_0 - p^2_0)(a^1 - a^2) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle A(s, \bar{u}_s) - A(s, u^2_s), \bar{u}_s \rangle \, ds.
\]

Here we use the notation from Section 3 for \(u\) and \(A\). From (H3.3), we have

\[
(\tilde{p}_0 - p^2_0)(a^1 - a^2) \geq 0,
\]

so \(\tilde{p}_0 \geq p^2_0\), and then \(p'_0 \geq p^2_0\). The proof is completed.

Now we give an example of FBSDEP to show the comparison theorem.
**Example 4.1.** We consider the following two FBSDEPs,

\[ \begin{align*}
  x^1_t &= 2 - \int_0^t \frac{p^1_s + x^1_s + q^1_s}{(1 + s)^2} \, ds - \int_0^t \frac{q^1_s - p^1_s}{(1 + s)^2} \, dB_s \\
  p^1_t &= x^1_t + 5 + \int_t^T \left( \frac{x^1_s - p^1_s - k^1_s}{(1 + s)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 + s)^2} \right) \, ds \\
  &\quad - \int_{t}^{T} q^1_s \, dB_s - \int_{t}^{T} \int_{Z} k^1_s(z) \, \tilde{N}(dz \, ds), \quad t \geq 0
\end{align*} \]

(4.6)

and

\[ \begin{align*}
  x^2_t &= 1 - \int_0^t \frac{p^2_s + x^2_s + q^2_s}{(1 + s)^2} \, ds - \int_0^t \frac{q^2_s - p^2_s}{(1 + s)^2} \, dB_s \\
  p^2_t &= x^2_t + \int_t^T \frac{x^2_s - p^2_s - k^2_s}{(1 + s)^2} \, ds \\
  &\quad - \int_{t}^{T} q^2_s \, dB_s - \int_{t}^{T} \int_{Z} k^2_s(z) \, \tilde{N}(dz \, ds), \quad t \geq 0.
\end{align*} \]

(4.7)

It is easy to check that (4.6) and (4.7) satisfy (H3.1), (H3.2) and (H3.3), so according to Theorem 3.1, there exist unique solutions \((x^1, p^1, q^1, k^1)\) and \((x^2, p^2, q^2, k^2)\) respectively. We can check that the above two FBSDEPs satisfy (H4.1) and (H4.2), so from Theorem 4.1, we know that \(p^1_0 \geq p^2_0\).

We notice that the comparison Theorem 4.1 of FBSDEP, which holds only at time \(t = 0\), is weaker than that of BSDEP, that is, Theorem 2.2. In the forward-backward case, we cannot easily jump to a conclusion like \(p^1_t = \Phi^1(x^1_t) \geq \Phi^2(x^2_t) = p^2_t\) from the assumption that \(\Phi^1(x) \geq \Phi^2(x)\) because in the present situation, the forward solutions \(x^1_t\) and \(x^2_t\) are different if \(\Phi^1\) and \(\Phi^2\) are. Thus unlike the classical (pure backward) case, no common comparison theorem can be made even \(a^{1} = a^{2}\) except for \(t = 0\). We will give a counterexample to show this point.

**Example 4.2.** For simplicity, we consider the fixed time duration \(T > 0\), the Lipschitz coefficient being constant, a one dimensional Brownian motion and study the following two FBSDEPs,

\[ \begin{align*}
  x^1_t &= a + \int_0^t (-p^1_s + q^1_s) \, ds + \int_0^t (x^1_s + p^1_s + q^1_s) \, dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
  p^1_t &= x^1_t + 2 + \int_t^T (x^1_s - q^1_s + 2) \, ds - \int_t^T q^1_s \, dB_s - \int_t^T \int_{Z} k^1_s(z) \, \tilde{N}(dz \, ds),
\end{align*} \]

(4.8)
Fully coupled FBSDE with Brownian motion

\[
\begin{aligned}
&x_t^2 = a + \int_0^t (-p_s^2 + q_s^2) ds - \int_0^t (x_s^2 + p_s^2 + q_s^2) dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
p_t^2 = x_t^2 + \int_0^t (x_s^2 - q_s^2) ds - \int_0^t q_s^2 dB_s - \int_0^t \int_Z k_s^2(z) \tilde{N}(dz \, ds),
\end{aligned}
\]  

(4.9)

From Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3, there exist a unique solution \((x^1, p^1, q^1, k^1)\) for (4.8) and \((x^2, p^2, q^2, k^2)\) for (4.9) respectively. Then, from Theorem 4.1, \(p_0^2 \geq p_0^1\). Now we try to check this conclusion for this example.

Firstly, it is easy to know that \((x^1, p^1, q^1, k^1)\) is the unique solution of (4.8), where \(p_1^t = x_1^t + 2, q_1^t = -x_1^t - 1, k_1^t = 0\) and \(x_1^t\) is the solution of the following stochastic differential equation:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&dx_1^t = (-2x_1^t - 3) \, dt - (x_1^t + 1) \, dB_t, \\
x_1^0 = a.
\end{aligned}
\]

(4.10)

Then we get

\[
x_1^t = a e^{-5t/2 - B_t} - e^{-5t/2 - B_t} \int_0^t 4 e^{5s/2 + B_s} \, ds - e^{-5t/2 - B_t} \int_0^t e^{5s/2 + B_s} \, dB_s
\]

and \(p_1^t = x_1^t + 2, 0 \leq t \leq T\). We also can get \((x^2, p^2, q^2, k^2)\) is the unique solution of (4.9), where \(p_2^t = x_2^t, q_2^t = -x_2^t, k_2^t = 0\) and \(x_2^t\) satisfies the following stochastic differential equation

\[
\begin{aligned}
&dx_2^t = (-2x_2^t) \, dt - x_2^t \, dB_t, \\
x_2^0 = a.
\end{aligned}
\]

(4.11)

Then \(p_2^t = x_2^t = a e^{-5t/2 - B_t}\). So

\[
p_1^t - p_2^t = 2 - e^{-5t/2 - B_t} \int_0^t 4 e^{5s/2 + B_s} \, ds - e^{-5t/2 - B_t} \int_0^t e^{5s/2 + B_s} \, dB_s.
\]

For \(t = 0\), \(p_1^0 - p_2^0 = 2 > 0\), but for any \(t > 0\), it can be both positive or negative with positive probability.
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