

A PROBLEM ON ROUGH PARAMETRIC MARCINKIEWICZ FUNCTIONS

YONG DING, SHANZHEN LU and KÔZÔ YABUTA

(Received 31 March 2000; revised 12 December 2000)

Communicated by A. H. Dooley

Abstract

In this note the authors give the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of a class of parametric Marcinkiewicz integral $\mu_{\Omega,h}^\rho$ with kernel function Ω in $L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$ and radial function $h(|x|) \in L^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $1 < q \leq \infty$.

As its corollary, the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$) boundedness of $\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}$ and $\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho$ with Ω in $L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$ and $h(|x|) \in L^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ are also obtained. Here $\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}$ and $\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho$ are parametric Marcinkiewicz functions corresponding to the Littlewood-Paley g_λ^* -function and the Lusin area function S , respectively.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 42B25, 42B99.

Keywords and phrases: Marcinkiewicz integral, Littlewood-Paley g -function, Lusin area integral, rough kernel.

1. Introduction

Suppose that S^{n-1} is the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) equipped with normalized Lebesgue measure $d\sigma = d\sigma(x')$. Let $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ be homogeneous of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n and

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') d\sigma(x') = 0,$$

where $x' = x/|x|$ for any $x \neq 0$.

In 1960, Hörmander [5] defined the parametric Marcinkiewicz function of higher dimension as follows.

$$\mu_\Omega^\rho(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_t^\rho(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

The first author and the second author were supported by NSF of China (Grant No. 19971010) and National 973 Project of China, respectively.

where $\rho > 0$ and

$$F_t^\rho(x) = \frac{1}{t^\rho} \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\rho}} f(y) dy.$$

When $\rho = 1$, we denote μ_Ω^1 simply by μ_Ω . It is well known that μ_Ω is the usual Marcinkiewicz integral corresponding to the Littlewood-Paley g -function introduced by Stein in [7]. Stein proved that if Ω is continuous and $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), then μ_Ω is of type (p, p) ($1 < p \leq 2$) and of weak type $(1, 1)$. In [1], Benedek, Calderón and Panzone proved that if $\Omega \in C^1(S^{n-1})$, then μ_Ω is of type (p, p) ($1 < p < \infty$). On the other hand, in 1960, Hörmander [5] proved that if $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), then for $\rho > 0$, μ_Ω^ρ is of type (p, p) ($1 < p < \infty$). Recently, Sakamoto and Yabuta [6] gave the L^p boundedness of μ_Ω^ρ , $\mu_{\Omega, \lambda}^{*, \rho}$ and $\mu_{\Omega, S}^\rho$ (see below for the definitions), where ρ is a complex number and $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$). It is worth pointing out that Ω was required to satisfy some smoothness conditions in the results mentioned above.

For a long time, an open problem is whether there are some results as above on the L^p boundedness of parametric Marcinkiewicz function μ_Ω^ρ when Ω satisfies only some size condition. The purpose of this note is to give a positive answer. Precisely, we shall consider $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of a class of parametric Marcinkiewicz function with kernel functions which lacks smoothness both on the sphere and in radial direction. Let us give some definitions first. The function spaces $l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ are defined as follows. If $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$(1.2) \quad l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+) = \left\{ h : \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} |h(r)|^q \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/q} < C \right\}.$$

If $q = \infty$, $l^\infty(L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By Hölder's inequality, it is easy to check that for $1 < q < r < \infty$

$$(1.3) \quad l^\infty(L^\infty) \subset l^\infty(L^r) \subset l^\infty(L^q) \subset l^\infty(L^1).$$

The parametric Marcinkiewicz function $\mu_{\Omega, h}^\rho$ is defined by

$$\mu_{\Omega, h}^\rho(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_{\Omega, h}^\rho(x, t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

where ρ is a complex number, $\rho = \alpha + i\tau$ and $h(x)$ is a radial function on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $h(|x|) \in l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ($1 \leq q \leq \infty$),

$$F_{\Omega, h}^\rho(x, t) = \frac{1}{t^\rho} \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)h(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n-\rho}} f(y) dy.$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $\Omega \in L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$ is a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (1.1) and $h(|x|) \in l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)$. If $1 < q \leq \infty$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = \alpha > 0$, then $\|\mu_{\Omega,h}^\rho(f)\|_2 \leq C/\sqrt{\alpha}\|f\|_2$, where C is independent of ρ and f .*

As an application of Theorem 1, we obtain also the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($p \geq 2$) boundedness of the parametric Marcinkiewicz functions $\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}$ and $\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho$ with the same kernel function Ω and $h(x)$, where $\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}$ and $\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho$ are respectively defined by

$$\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)(x) = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \left(\frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^{n\lambda} |F_{\Omega,h}^\rho(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \lambda > 1,$$

$$\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho(f)(x) = \left(\int_{\Gamma(x)} |F_{\Omega,h}^\rho(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\Gamma(x) = \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : |x - y| < t\}$.

THEOREM 2. *If $2 \leq p < \infty$, then under the conditions of Theorem 1 we have $\|\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)\|_p \leq (C/\sqrt{\alpha})\|f\|_p$ and $\|\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho(f)\|_p \leq (C/\sqrt{\alpha})\|f\|_p$, where $C = C_{\lambda,n,p}$ is independent of ρ and f .*

REMARK 1. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Lip}_\alpha(S^{n-1})(0 < \alpha \leq 1) &\subset L^\infty(S^{n-1}) \subset L^q(S^{n-1})(q > 1) \\ &\subset L \log^+ L(S^{n-1}) \subset L^1(S^{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and all inclusions are proper. Therefore in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, the smoothness condition assumed on Ω has been removed and Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are improvement and extension of the known results mentioned above for $p = 2$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$, respectively.

REMARK 2. After finishing this paper, we were told that in recent work [4], using a method which is quite different from one in this paper, Fan and Sato also gave the L^2 -boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral μ_Ω^ρ when $\Omega \in L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$ and $h \equiv 1$. From their result, one can deduce that (L^2, L^2) bound of μ_Ω^ρ is only smaller than $C((\operatorname{Re} \rho)^{-3/2} + (\operatorname{Re} \rho)^{-1/2})$. However, it is smaller than $C(\operatorname{Re} \rho)^{-1/2}$ by our method. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 1 in this paper is better than the relevant result in [4].

2. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Let us begin by recalling a known fact.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\Omega(x') \in L^\infty(S^{n-1})$. Then for any $0 < \theta < 1$ there is a constant C such that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\left(\int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x} d\sigma(u') \right|^2 \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} |2^j x|^{-\theta/2}.$$

See [3] for the proof.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\Omega(x') \in L^\infty(S^{n-1})$ and $h(r) \in l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Then for any $0 < \theta < 1$ there is a constant C such that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x} h(r) d\sigma(u') \right| \frac{dr}{r} \\ \leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left(\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} |2^j x|^{-\theta/2} \right)^{2/q'} \left(\|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \right)^{(q'-2)/q'}.$$

PROOF. Denote by

$$K(h) = \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x} h(r) d\sigma(u') \right| \frac{dr}{r}.$$

First let us consider the case $q = 2$. By Lemma 1 and Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad K(h) \leq \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^2)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left(\int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x} d\sigma(u') \right|^2 \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^2)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} |2^j x|^{-\theta/2}.$$

On the other hand, for $q = 1$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad K(h) \leq \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(u')| d\sigma(u') |h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} \leq \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^1)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}.$$

Hence if we see K as a sublinear operator acted on the spaces $l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $1 \leq q \leq 2$, then (2.2) and (2.3) show that K is a bounded operator from $l^\infty(L^2)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to L^∞ and from $l^\infty(L^1)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to L^∞ , respectively. Using the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem for sublinear operator [2] between (2.2) and (2.3), we know there exists an η satisfying $0 < \eta < 1$ and $1/q = (1 - \eta) + \eta/2$ such that

$$K(h) \leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left(\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} |2^j x|^{-\theta/2} \right)^\eta \left(\|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \right)^{1-\eta}.$$

It is easy to see that $\eta = 2/q'$. Thus we finish the proof of Lemma 2. \square

Now let us turn to the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By (1.3) we need only consider the case $1 < q \leq 2$. First we have

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{F}_{\Omega,h}^\rho(\xi, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} F_{\Omega,h}^\rho(x, t) dx = \hat{f}(\xi) \frac{1}{t^\rho} \int_{|u| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(u)h(|u|)}{|u|^{n-\rho}} e^{-2\pi i u \cdot \xi} du.$$

Using Plancherel's theorem and (2.4), the square of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm of $\mu_{\Omega,h}^\rho(f)$ is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{F}_{\Omega,h}^\rho(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|u| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(u)h(|u|)}{|u|^{n-\rho}} e^{-2\pi i u \cdot \xi} du \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{1+2\alpha}} \right) d\xi.$$

Since

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|u| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(u)h(|u|)}{|u|^{n-\rho}} e^{-2\pi i u \cdot \xi} du \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{1+2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot \xi} \frac{\chi_{[0,t]}(r)}{r^{1-\rho}} h(r) d\sigma(u') dr \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{1+2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot \xi} h(r) d\sigma(u') \right|^2 \frac{\chi_{[0,t]}(r)}{t^{1+2\alpha}} dt \right)^{1/2} \frac{dr}{r^{1-\alpha}} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot \xi} h(r) d\sigma(u') \right| \left(\int_r^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{1+2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \frac{dr}{r^{1-\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot \xi} h(r) d\sigma(u') \right| \frac{dr}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that for any $s > 0$, we have

$$\left(\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} |h(rs)|^q \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/q} = \left(\int_{2^{j-1}s}^{2^j s} |h(r)|^q \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/q} \leq 2 \|h\|_{L^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

Therefore, by (2.5) to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to show that for $\Omega \in L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$, there is a constant C such that

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{x' \in S^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x'} h(r) d\sigma(u') \right| \frac{dr}{r} \leq C.$$

For any $x' \in S^{n-1}$, we denote $G(x', r) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x'} d\sigma(u')$ and write

$$\int_0^\infty |G(x', r)h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} = \int_0^2 |G(x', r)h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} + \int_2^\infty |G(x', r)h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} =: \text{I} + \text{II}.$$

Below we shall show that I and II are uniformly bounded on $x' \in S^{n-1}$. By (1.1), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \text{I} = \int_0^2 \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(u')(e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x'} - 1) h(r) d\sigma(u') \right| \frac{dr}{r} \leq C \|h\|_{L^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}.$$

In order to give the estimate of II, we need to use some idea from [8]. Set

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \{u' \in S^{n-1} : |\Omega(u')| \leq 2\}, \\ E_l &= \{u' \in S^{n-1} : 2^l < |\Omega(u')| \leq 2^{l+1}\} \quad \text{for } l \geq 1, \\ \Omega_l(u') &= \Omega(u') \chi_{E_l}(u') \quad \text{for } l \geq 0, \\ G_l(x', r) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega_l(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x'} d\sigma(u') \quad \text{for } l \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{E_l}(u')$ is the characteristic function of E_l . Taking $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s\theta > q'$, where $0 < \theta < 1$ is defined in Lemma 1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_0(x', r) h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} + \left(\sum_{l>0} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq sl} + \sum_{l>0} \sum_{j > sl} \right) \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_l(x', r) h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} \\ &=: \text{II}_1 + \text{II}_2 + \text{II}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us give the estimates for II_1 , II_2 and II_3 , respectively. By Hölder's inequality

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_0(x', r) h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} \leq \|h\|_{L^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left(\int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_0(x', r)|^{q'} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/q'}.$$

Since $|G_0(x', r)| \leq 2|S^{n-1}|$ and $2 \leq q' < \infty$, by (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad &\int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_0(x', r) h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left(\int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_0(x', r)|^2 |G_0(x', r)|^{q'-2} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{L^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left(\int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_0(x', r)|^2 \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/q'}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 and (2.9) we see that

$$(2.10) \quad \text{II}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |G_0(x', r) h(r)| \frac{dr}{r}$$

$$\leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |2^j x'|^{-\theta/q'} \leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

For Π_2 and $1 < q \leq 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad \Pi_2 &\leq \sum_{l>0} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq sl} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega_l(u')| d\sigma(u') |h(r)| \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sum_{l>0} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq sl} (\log 2)^{1/q'} \cdot \|\Omega_l\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sum_{l>0} l \log 2 \cdot 2^{l+1} |E_l| \leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|\Omega\|_{L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us estimate Π_3 . Applying Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad \Pi_3 &= \sum_{l>0} \sum_{j>sl} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega_l(u') e^{-2\pi i r u' \cdot x'} h(r) d\sigma(u') \right| \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sum_{l>0} \sum_{j>sl} (\|\Omega_l\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} |2^j x'|^{-\theta/2})^{2/q'} (\|\Omega_l\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})})^{(q'-2)/q'} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sum_{l>0} \sum_{j>sl} (2^l \cdot 2^{-j\theta/2})^{2/q'} (2^l |S^{n-1}|)^{(q'-2)/q'} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sum_{l>0} 2^l \cdot 2^{-sl\theta/q'} \leq C \|h\|_{l^\infty(L^q)(\mathbb{R}_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the constants in (2.7) and (2.10)–(2.12) are independent of x' . Therefore, (2.6) follows from (2.7) and (2.10)–(2.12). Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Before giving the proof of Theorem 2, we give a lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Let $\lambda > 1$. Then under the conditions of Theorem 1, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any nonnegative and locally integrable function ϕ ,*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu_{\Omega, h, \lambda}^{*, \rho}(f)(x)^2 \phi(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C_{\lambda, n}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 M\phi(x) dx \right)^{1/2},$$

where M denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

The proof of Lemma 3 follows by using the method in [9, pages 241–242] and the conclusion of Theorem 1. We omit the details here. Now let us return to the proof of Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For $2 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)\|_p &= \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)(x)^2]^{p/2} dx \right)^{2/p} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \left\{ \sup_{\phi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)(x)^2 \phi(x) dx \right| \right\}^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\phi(x)$ satisfying $\|\phi\|_{(p/2)'} \leq 1$. Applying Lemma 3, Hölder's inequality and the $L^{(p/2)'}$ ($1 < (p/2)' \leq \infty$) boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M , we get

$$(2.14) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)(x)^2 |\phi(x)| dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 M\phi(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \|f\|_p.$$

By (2.13) and (2.14) we have $\|\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)\|_p \leq C/\sqrt{\alpha} \|f\|_p$. On the other hand, using the idea in [9] it is easy to prove that $\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho(f)(x) \leq 2^{\lambda n} \mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)(x)$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Finally, we give another direct application of Lemma 3. It is well known that if $\omega \in A_1$, then $M\omega(x) \leq C\omega(x)$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n . Hence by Lemma 3, we get immediately the weighted L^2 boundedness for $\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}$ and $\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho$.

COROLLARY 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, if $\omega \in A_1$, then

$$\|\mu_{\Omega,h,S}^\rho(f)\|_{2,\omega} \leq C_{\lambda,n} \|\mu_{\Omega,h,\lambda}^{*,\rho}(f)\|_{2,\omega} \leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \|f(x)\|_{2,\omega}.$$

References

- [1] A. Benedek, A. Calderón and R. Panzone, 'Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions', *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **48** (1962), 356–365.
- [2] A. Calderón and A. Zygmund, 'A note on the interpolation of sublinear operators', *Amer. J. Math.* **78** (1956), 282–288.
- [3] J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, 'Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates', *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), 541–561.
- [4] D. Fan and S. Sato, 'Weak type (1, 1) estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernels', *Tôhoku Math. J.*, to appear.
- [5] L. Hörmander, 'Translation invariant operators', *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 93–139.
- [6] M. Sakamoto and K. Yabuta, 'Boundedness of Marcinkiewicz functions', *Studia Math.* **135** (1999), 103–142.

- [7] E. M. Stein, 'On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1958), 430–466.
- [8] Q. Sun, 'Two problems about singular integral operators', *Approx. Theory Appl.* **7** (1991), 83–98.
- [9] A. Torchinsky and S. Wang, 'A note on the Marcinkiewicz integral', *Coll. Mat.* **61–62** (1990), 235–243.

Department of Mathematics
Beijing Normal University
Beijing, 100875
P. R. China
e-mail: dingy@bnu.edu.cn
e-mail: lusz@bnu.edu.cn

School of Science
Kwansei Gakuin University
Uegahara 1-1-155
Nishinomiya 662-8501
Japan
e-mail: yabuta@kwansei.ac.jp