



# Technical Papers

## A Poincaré duality in $K$ -theory

Hao Guo\*

Poincaré duality is a fundamental result that relates the homology and cohomology groups of manifolds. For  $M$  a compact orientable manifold of dimension  $n$ , it states that we have an isomorphism

$$H_k(M) \cong H^{n-k}(M)$$

for each  $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , where we may take, for example, coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If we take instead coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$ , Poincaré duality implies that, in order to determine the de Rham cohomology of an oriented compact manifold, it suffices to calculate only the first  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  groups. The isomorphism can be realised via the cap product, a bilinear map

$$\cap: H^q(M) \times H_p(M) \rightarrow H_{p-q}(M), \quad p \geq q,$$

in the following way. Orientability of a compact manifold means that there exists an element  $[M] \in H_n(M)$ , called the fundamental class, with the property that when the second argument above is restricted to  $[M]$ ,  $\cap$  gives an isomorphism of abelian groups. That is, we have

$$\cap: H^q(M) \times [M] \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{n-q}(M).$$

A simple example of a compact non-orientable manifold where Poincaré duality fails to hold (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is the Klein bottle  $K^2$ , whose homology groups are  $H_0(K^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $H_1(K^2) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and  $H_2(K^2) = 0$ .

In the setting of complex  $K$ -theory, an extraordinary cohomology theory, a version of Poincaré duality also holds for manifolds that satisfy an analogous notion of orientability. Here, the cohomology groups  $H^k(M)$  are replaced by the complex topological  $K$ -theory groups  $K^i(M)$ , with  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , while the homology groups  $H_k(M)$  are replaced by the  $K$ -homology groups  $K_i(M)$ . The notion corresponding to that of an orientable manifold is that of a so-called  $\text{Spin}^c$  manifold.

The group  $K^0(M)$  is constructed using complex vector bundles, subject to an equivalence relation defined by stable isomorphism. The group  $K_0(M)$  is constructed from certain abstract elliptic operators acting on sections of complex vector bundles over  $M$ , subject to a certain equivalence relation [3]. Recall that ellipticity of an operator is defined by invertibility of its symbol; a familiar example of an elliptic operator is the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$ .

---

\*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia.  
Email: [oug.oah@gmail.com](mailto:oug.oah@gmail.com)

Hao Guo was awarded the B.H. Neumann Prize for best student presentation at the annual meeting of the AustMS in 2016. This extended abstract is an invited contribution to the *Gazette*.

H.G. is supported by a University of Adelaide Divisional Scholarship.

There is also a  $K$ -theoretic analogue of the cap product,

$$\cap: K^q(M) \times K_p(M) \rightarrow K_{p-q}(M),$$

where the index  $p - q$  is taken mod 2. The notion of  $\text{Spin}^c$  is strictly stronger than that of orientability, as we explain now. Note that one way to characterise orientability is to say that the restriction of the tangent bundle  $TM$  over any embedded loop  $S^1 \hookrightarrow M$  is trivialisable. A manifold  $M$  of even dimension greater than or equal to 4 is said to be  $\text{Spin}^c$  if it is orientable and the restriction of  $TM$  over any embedded 3-sphere  $S^3 \hookrightarrow M$  has a complex structure.

A  $\text{Spin}^c$ -structure on a manifold makes it possible to construct an operator that plays an analogous role to that of the fundamental class in homology, called the  $\text{Spin}^c$ -Dirac operator,  $D$ . Suppose, for simplicity, that  $M$  is an even-dimensional compact  $\text{Spin}^c$ -manifold. Then the elliptic operator  $D$  defines a class  $[D] \in K_0(M)$ .  $[D]$  plays the role of  $[M]$  in the sense that restriction on the second factor of  $\cap$  produces the following isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \cap: K^0(M) \times [D] &\xrightarrow{\simeq} K_0(M), \\ [E] \cap [D] &\mapsto [D_E]. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $E$  denotes a complex vector bundle over  $M$  and  $D_E$  the  $\text{Spin}^c$ -Dirac operator on  $M$  twisted by  $E$ . This is the analogue of Poincaré duality in the setting of  $K$ -theory when the manifold  $M$  is  $\text{Spin}^c$ .

In joint work with my supervisors Professor Mathai Varghese and Dr Hang Wang [1], we establish a Poincaré duality for the equivariant version of  $K$ -theory — that is, where one takes into consideration the action of a Lie group  $G$  on a (possibly non-compact) manifold  $X$  and compatible actions of  $G$  on complex vector bundles over it. For a fixed compact Lie group  $G$ , the topological  $K$ -theory group is replaced by a group  $K_G^0(X)$ , defined using  $G$ -equivariant vector bundles; an account of this theory can be found in [6]. The equivariant theory of  $K$ -homology, denoted  $K_0^G(X)$  (where  $G$  may be non-compact), can be found in [3]. Elements in this group are represented by abstract  $G$ -invariant elliptic operators on the manifold subject to a certain equivalence relation.

The setting of our result is as follows. Suppose  $G$  is a Lie group with finitely many connected components, acting properly on a smooth even-dimensional manifold  $X$  (not necessarily compact) with a  $G$ -equivariant  $\text{Spin}^c$ -structure, and that the orbit space is compact. The action is said to be proper if the inverse image of any compact set under the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: G \times X &\rightarrow X \times X, \\ (g, x) &\mapsto (x, g \cdot x) \end{aligned}$$

is compact. For example, the action of a compact Lie group on any manifold is necessarily proper. On the other hand, the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $S^1$  by irrational rotations is not proper, although it is free.

Under these assumptions, we establish the following equivariant version of Poincaré duality:

$$\begin{aligned} K_G^0(X) &\cong K_0^G(X), \\ [E] &\mapsto [D] \cap [E] := [D_E], \end{aligned}$$

where  $E$  is a  $G$ -equivariant complex vector bundle,  $D$  is the  $G$ -invariant  $\text{Spin}^c$ -Dirac operator on  $X$ , and  $D_E$  a twisted operator. This map is entirely similar to that in the non-equivariant version of Poincaré duality. Now when the Lie group  $G$  is non-compact, the elements of  $K_G^0(X)$  cannot always be represented by finite-dimensional  $G$ -equivariant vector bundles [4]. However, it can be shown that when  $G$  has only finitely many connected components, finite-dimensional vector bundles are enough [5], so that the above isomorphism makes sense.

The Poincaré duality in [1] is the first such result in equivariant  $K$ -theory for non-compact groups acting on non-compact manifolds. It generalises a previous result of Kasparov [2], which covers the case of compact  $G$  and compact  $X$ , to a much larger class of Lie groups. The requirement that  $X$  be even-dimensional, which we invoked above in order to simplify notation, can be removed without much difficulty. In addition, in the same paper [1], we establish a more general Poincaré duality where the assumption that  $M$  be  $\text{Spin}^c$  is dropped.

## References

- [1] Guo, H., Varghese, M. and Wang, H.  $\text{Spin}^c$ ,  $K$ -homology and proper actions. Submitted.
- [2] Kasparov, G.G. (1988). Equivariant  $KK$ -theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.* **91**, 147–201.
- [3] Kasparov, G.G. (1975). Topological invariants of elliptic operators. I:  $K$ -homology. *Math. USSR-Izvestija* **9**, 751–792.
- [4] Phillips, C. (1988). Equivariant  $K$ -theory for proper actions and  $C^*$ -algebras. In *Index Theory of Elliptic Operators, Foliations, and Operator Algebras* (Contemp. Math. **70**), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, pp. 175–204.
- [5] Phillips, C. (1988). Equivariant  $K$ -theory for proper actions II: some cases in which finite-dimensional bundles suffice. In *Index Theory of Elliptic Operators, Foliations, and Operator Algebras* (Contemp. Math. **70**), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, pp. 205–227.
- [6] Segal, G. (1968). Equivariant  $K$ -theory. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **34**, 129–151.



Left to right: Sean Carnaffan (Honourable mention; University of Sydney); Scott Lindstrom (Honourable mention; University of Newcastle); Dr Katherine Seaton (La Trobe University); Anthony Carapetis (Honourable mention; ANU); Trang Thi Thien Nguyen (Honourable mention; University of South Australia); Winner of the BH Neumann prize: Hao Guo (The University of Adelaide); Professor Kate Smith-Miles (Monash University)