



# Communications

## On the form of an odd perfect number

Tim S. Roberts\*

It has been known since the time of Euler that an odd perfect number  $N$  (if it exists) must have the form  $N = p^a Q^2$  where  $p$  is prime and  $p = a = 1 \pmod{4}$  (see, e.g., [1, pp. 3–33]). Further, it has been shown that  $N$  must equal  $1 \pmod{12}$ , or  $9 \pmod{36}$  [3], [2]. However, we can do a little better than this.

From either result it is immediately evident that if 3 divides  $N$ , then  $3^k$  divides  $N$ , where  $k = 0 \pmod{2}$ .

If  $k = 0$ , then  $N$  must be of the form  $1 \pmod{12}$ .

For any positive integer  $N = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_n^{k_n}$ , the sum  $S$  of all of the divisors (including 1 and  $N$  itself), is given by

$$S = (1 + p_1^1 + p_1^2 + \dots + p_1^{k_1})(1 + p_2^1 + p_2^2 + \dots + p_2^{k_2}) \dots (1 + p_n^1 + p_n^2 + \dots + p_n^{k_n}).$$

If  $N$  is perfect, it is equal to the sum of its divisors (excepting itself), so  $N = S - N$ , so  $2N = S$ . Thus, if  $N$  is perfect, and a factor of  $N$  is  $3^k$ , then  $N$  is itself divisible by  $(1 + 3^1 + 3^2 + \dots + 3^k)$ .

If  $k = 2$ , then  $N$  must be of the form  $9 \pmod{36}$ . Further, since  $N$  is perfect, from the above we know that  $3^0 + 3^1 + 3^2 = 1 + 3 + 9 = 13$  must divide  $2N$ , and hence  $N = 0 \pmod{13}$ . Thus,  $N$  must satisfy both  $N = 9 \pmod{36}$  and  $N = 0 \pmod{13}$ . From the Chinese remainder theorem, we can deduce that  $N$  must equal  $117 \pmod{468}$ .

If  $k > 2$ , then  $N$  is divisible by  $3^4 = 81$ . Thus,  $N$  must satisfy both  $N = 9 \pmod{36}$  and  $N = 0 \pmod{81}$ . From the Chinese remainder theorem, we can deduce that  $N$  must equal  $81 \pmod{324}$ .

Thus, if  $N$  is an odd perfect number, it must be of the form  $N = 1 \pmod{12}$  or  $N = 117 \pmod{468}$  or  $N = 81 \pmod{324}$ .

Of course, it is possible to further refine the last of these results in a similar way, by considering separately values of  $k$  greater than or equal to 4.

## References

- [1] Dickson, L.E. (2005). *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. 1, Divisibility and Primality. Dover, New York.
- [2] Holdener, J.A. (2002). A theorem of Touchard and the form of odd perfect numbers. *American Mathematical Monthly* **109**, 661–663.
- [3] Touchard, J. (1953). On prime numbers and perfect numbers. *Scripta Mathematica* **19**, 35–39.

\*Faculty of Business and Informatics, Central Queensland University, Bundaberg, QLD 4670.  
E-mail: [t.roberts@cqu.edu.au](mailto:t.roberts@cqu.edu.au)